ride precipitated as a gum which upon trituration with additional portions of dry ether yielded 4.0 g. of solid; yield after recrystallization, 9%.

Di-(2-pyrrolidino-1-phenylethyl) Phthalate Dihydrochloride. Method C (Table I, Compound 10).—A mixture of phthalic anhydride (4.55 g., 0.033 mole) and 100 ml. of toluene was stirred and heated to reflux in a flask fitted with a Dean-Stark water trap. Upon addition of 12.4 g. (0.06 mole) of 2-pyrrolidino-1-phenyl-ethanol,¹ a clear homogeneous solution was obtained. Dry hydrogen chloride was passed through the reaction mixture for a total of 32 hours with continued stirring and azeotropic reflux. A precipitate which formed innmediately, remained throughout the proccss. Separation of water was substantially completed at the end of the 32-hour period. The precipitate was separated and triturated with ether yielding 16.4 g. of crude product; yield after recrystallization was 41%.

Di-(2-diethylamino-1-phenylethyl) Succinate. Method D (Table I, Compound 3).—A solution of 7.8 g. (0.04 mole) of 2-diethylamino-1-phenylethanol in 100 ml. of chlorobenzene was treated with 1.6 g. (0.04 mole) of dry hydrogen chloride. Succinyl chloride (3.1 g., 0.02 mole) was added at reflux temperature during a 0.5-hour period and refluxing and stirring were continued for 30 hours. At the end of this period, evolution of hydrogen chloride had practically ceased and a black, gummy reaction product had separated. The chlorobenzene was removed by decantation and the product was dissolved in water, the solution was washed with ether and made basic with 40% sodium hydroxide. The resultant oil which separated was extracted with five 20-ml. portions of ether and the combined extracts dried (magnesium sulfate). Filtration of the solution and evaporation of the solvent gave 2.4 g. of residue which was distilled to yield a small fore-run of 2-diethylamino-1-phenyl-ethanol and then 0.9 g. (8%) of product boiling at 196° (0.06 mm.).

Di-(2-pyrrolidino-1-phenylethyl) Succinate Dimethobromide (Table I, Compound 5).—A solution of 4.3 g. (0.008 mole) of di-(2-pyrrolidino-1-phenylethyl) succinate dihydrochloride dihydrate in water was made basic with 40% sodium hydroxide and the free base extracted with ether. The ether extracts were dried (magnesium sulfate), filtered, the solvent removed and the residue of the free base was dissolved in 60 ml. of acetonitrile and treated with 3.0 g. of methyl bronide. After standing 20 hours, 3.1 g. of product was obtained: the yield after recrystallization was 52%.

tained; the yield after recrystallization was 52%. 2-Pyrrolidino-1-phenylethyl Dichloroacetate Hydrochloride (Table II, Compound 4).—A solution of 4.9 g. (0.033 mole) of dichloroacetyl chloride in 70 ml. of ether was cooled in an ice-bath. To this was added, with stirring, a solution of 5.8 g. (0.03 mole) of 2-pyrrolidino-1-phenylethanol¹ in 30 ml. of ether over a 20-minute period. Stirring and cooling were continued for an additional hour. The precipitate, after recrystallization from ethanol, weighed 6.9 g. (65%).

Acknowledgment.—The authors are indebted to Dr. G. Ungar and his staff for the pharmacological results herein reported.

YONKERS 1, N. Y.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE RESEARCH LABORATORIES OF THE U. S. VITAMIN CORPORATION]

Local Anesthetics. II.¹ Esters of 2-Amino-1-phenyl- and 2-Amino-2-phenyl-ethanols

By Seymour L. Shapiro, Harold Soloway, Edward Chodos and Louis Freedman

RECEIVED JULY 3, 1958

A series of 2-amino-1-phenylethanols and 2-amino-2-phenylethanols have been esterified with benzoic, aryloxyacetic and cinnamic acids, and the resultant basic esters and their quaternary ammonium salts have been examined for pharmacological activity. Many compounds have been found which show a high order of local anesthetic activity, and within this series significant relationships between structure and activity are indicated. Certain compounds in this series show anti-tremorine action, hypotensive and ganglionic blocking effects, as well as adrenergic blocking and adrenergic potentiation effects.

Much of the published work on local anesthetics concerns procaine analogs of the type RCOO-Y-NR₁R₂ wherein R represents a substituted aryl or styryl group, Y is an alkylene radical and $-NR_1R_2$ is a secondary amino function.

This investigation was concerned chiefly with the effect on local anesthetic response when the alkylene linking element Y was varied as $-CH_{(R_4)}CH_2$ - and $-CH_2CH(R_4)$ -. The group R_4 represented phenyl, p-tolyl, p-chlorophenyl, α -naphthyl and cyclohexyl, but was largely retained as phenyl.

This structural feature of the R_4 substituent was retained throughout the work while R and $-NR_1R_2$ were varied principally to encompass factors contributing to anesthetic activity noted by other workers. In addition to the free bases and salts of the anesthetics described, a fairly broad evaluation of the quaternary ammonium salts (R_8X) was undertaken.

Typical of the compounds studied were I and II, and the products prepared have been described in Tables I and II, respectively.

$RCOOCH(R_4)CH_2NR_1R_2 \cdot R_3X$ (I)

$RCOOCH_2CH(R_4)NR_1R_2 \cdot R_3X$ (II)

The synthesis of the compounds listed in Tables I and II was effected by conventional procedures through reaction of the acid chloride RCOCl with the aminophenylethanol,² $R_1R_2NCH_2CH(R_4)OH$ or $R_1R_2NCH(R_4)CH_2OH$, with acetonitrile proving to be the preferred solvent. In most instances the hydrochloride of the desired compound precipitated or it could be recovered in sufficiently pure state for recrystallization upon evaporation of the solvent. In those instances in which the hydrochloride was not crystalline or granular, it was converted to the free base and the ester was purified by distillation.

The nitro compounds were reduced to the corresponding amino derivatives by familiar procedures.

Pharmacology.—The results and methods of the pharmacological tests have been given in Tables III and IV. The local anesthetic effect shows strong dependence on structure. Variation of the substituent R³ correlates with Burger's⁴ order in

(2) S. L. Shapiro, H. Soloway and L. Freedman, *ibid.*, **80**, 6060 (1958).

(3) For papers citing many references to this type of variation, see (a) J. S. Pierce and H. A. Rutter, Jr., *ibid.*, **74**, 3954 (1952); (b) W. H. Houff and R. D. Schnetz, J. Org. Chem., **18**, 916 (1953).

(4) A. Burger, "Medicinal Chemistry," Vol. I, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1951, p. 100.

⁽¹⁾ Paper I of this series, S. L. Shapiro, H. Soloway, E. Chodos and I. Freedman, THIS JOURNAL, 81, 201 (1959).

TABLE I

Esters of 2-Amino-1-phenylethanols $RCOOCHCH_2NR_1R_2 \cdot R_3X^a$

R.

								Analyses, d %					
No	в.	Ra	P.Y	Yield,	M.p., 6 °C., or	Der	Demonstr	Car	bon	Hydr	ogen	Nitr	ogen-
	1(1	102	1.3.2	70	b.p. (mm.)	r3°	Pormula	Calea.	round	Calco.	round	Calco.	round
					$R = C_{e}$	H5-							
1	CH	CH		7.5	100 104 (0.00)		C-II NO	-= 0	75 4	- 1		= 0	4.0
0	CH-	CH-	TICI	-0	122 - 124 (0.02)		$C_{17}H_{19}NO_2$	10.0	70.4	2.1	1.1	0.4	4.9
4	CH3-	CH3-	HCI		213-215	A	$C_{17}H_{20}CINO_2$	66.8	66.5	6.9	6.9	4.6	ə.U
3	CH3-	CH3-	CH3I	04	153-155	A	$C_{18}H_{22}INO_2$	52.6	52.8	5.4	ō.7		
-1	CH₃−	CH₃→	C ₂ H _b I	55	150-153	Z	$C_{19}H_{24}INO_2$	53.7	-4.2	5.7	5.6	3.3	3, 2
5	CH₃−	i-C3H	HCI	-17	147 - 148	в	$C_{19}H_{24}ClNO_2$	68.4	6 8 .4	7.3	7.4	4.2	4,0
6	CH₃−	<i>i</i> -C ₂ H;-	CH₃I	53	222-223	С	C20H26INO2					3.2	2.9
7	CH:-	$C_6 H_{11}^e$	HCl	68	197-198	D	C22H28CINO2	70.7	70.7	7.6	7.9	3.8	3.9
8	CH ₃ -	C ₆ H ₅ -	-	14	182-184 (0.08)	_	C ** H ** NO*	79.7	79.7	6 1	6.5	4 2	3.9
ä	CH	CoHee		•) 4	162 104 (0.00)		Cull NO	80.0	90.1 90.1	7.0		2.0	20.0
10					108-170 (0.03)		C24H25NO2	60.2	00.2	7.0	(,0	0.8	0.0
10	CH3-	C6H5CH2-		51	165 - 168 (0.18)		C23H23NO2	80.0	80.2	6.7	6.6	4.1	3.9
11	C_2H_{δ}	C_2H_5-	HCI	65	140 - 142	D	$C_{19}H_{24}ClNO_2$	68.4	67.9	7.3	7.5	4.2	3.7
12	$C_2H_{\delta}-$	C_2H_5 -	CH₃Cl	20	164 - 166	в	$C_{20}H_{26}ClNO_2$					4,0	3.9
13	C_2H_5-	$C_2H_{\delta}-$	CH₃Br	58	191-192	D	C20H26BrNO2	61.2	61.1	6.7	6.7		
14	C2Hè-	C_2H_5	CH₂I	66	212-213	в	C20H26INO2	54.7	54.7	6.0	6.0	3.2	3, 1
15	C₂H₅	C₂H₅-	DMS^{g_1}	73	148-149	Е	C21H29NO6S	59.6	60.0	6.9	6.8	3.3	3.0
16	C•H+-	C.H	C.H.Br	16	188-100	R	CarHaBrNO.	62 0	62 ()	6.0	6.8		
17	C.H	C.H	C.U.I	41	156 159	D	Cull INO	04.0	0 -	0.0	0.0	2 1	0 8
17	C2115-	C2115-	DDGG	41	150-158	5	C21H281 NO1					0.1	<u> </u>
(0)	C2H3-	C2H3 -	DES	51	154-155	в	C23H33NO65	51.2	61.3	1.4	7.2	a.1 0.0	3.0
tθ	C_2H_5-	C_2H_{δ} -	EBA'^*	10	167 - 169	E	$C_{23}H_{30}BrNO_4$	59.5	59.1	6.5	6.7	3.0	3, 1
20	C_2H_5-	C_2H_{δ}	C₃H₃Br	25	108-109	в	$C_{22}H_{26}BrNO_2$	63.5	63.2	6.3	6.4		
21	C_2H_b	C_2H_b -	$C_6H_5CH_2Cl$	12	181-183	в	C26H30C1NO2	73.7	73.8	7.1	7.4	3.3	3.0
22^{4a}	C ₂ H ₅ -	C ₂ H ₅ -	HCI	54	160-161	D	$C_{19}H_{23}Cl_2NO_2$	62.0	62.1	6.3	6.2	3.8	3.7
23^{ab}	C₂H3−	C ₂ H ₅ -	CHI	83	127 - 129	D	C14HasINO	46 3	46.5	6 1	6.2	3.9	T (t
01ab	C'H-	CoH-	Callar	40	127 140	P	Cull. INO.	17.8	47 6	E A	6.1	3 -	
	C.H.	C.H	C21151	40	137-140	Б	$C_{15}H_{24}H_{10}O_2$	·17.0	77.0	0.1	0.7	••••	0.0
20	C2H3-			40	176-178 (0.8)		C20 H25 N O2	((.1	((.2	8.1	0.0		
20	$n - C_3 H_{:-}$	n-C3H7-		63	180 - 184(0.9)		$C_{21}H_{27}NO_2$	77.5	78.2	8.4	8.2	4.3	1.2
27	í-C₃H⊺	C6H5CH2	HCI	24	153 - 155	В	$C_{25}H_{28}C1NO_2$	73.2	73.0	6.9	7.3		
28	n-C4H9-	n-C4H9-		41	154(0.05)		C23HatNO2	78.1	78.5	8.8	9.1		
29	-(CH	(<u>a</u>)4 -	HCI	80	190-192	А	C19H22C1NO2	68.8	68.7	6.7	6.7	4.2	⇒U, €L
30	-(CH	(2)4	CH ₂ I	37	204-207	Τ	C20H24INO2	54.9	55.2	5.5	6.0		
31	-(CH	[0] A	EBA^{h}	63	125-197	r	Car Has Br NO	59 7	59.7	6.1	5.2	3 0	3.6
20	(CH	-2/4 [.).	UCI	84	120-121	1	Cull CINO	NO 5	20.9	7 0	6.1	1 1	9.6
02	-(CI	2/5-	nei	04	200-208	А	C20 H24CL \ O2	-0 0		4.0	0.4	1911 - 19 1911 - 19	0.0
00	-C8H	16		40	178-180 (0.05)		C23H29NO2	78.0	66.6	8.0	8.0		0.0
34	-(CH	2)6-	HCI	49	195 - 197	1)	C_{2} : $H_{25}CINO_2$	70.1		7.3	7.0	3.9	4.1
35	–C₅H	$11N^{-\kappa}$	HC1	21	220-223	А	$C_{20}H_{24}Cl_2N_2O_2$	60.5	60.5	6.6	6.9	7.1	7.4
36	$-(CH_2)_2C$	$O(CH_2)_2$ -		12	161-165 (0.07)		C18H21NO3	73.3	73.1	5.8	7.3	1.5	4.5
37	-C6H	$_{12}O^{-l}$	HCI	26	163-165	11	CoH++CINO;	67.1	67.6	7.0	7.1	3.7	4.1
					$\mathbf{D} = v C \mathbf{U}$	C II							
					$\mathbf{R} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{C} \mathbf{n}$::C\$I1.							
38	C_2H_5	C_2H_3		29	142 - 143 + 0 + 15)		C20H25NO2	75.1	77.1	8.1	8.3	1.5	(1,5)
39	- (CH	(c) 5	HCI	86	165 - 167	В	C5HaCINO2	70.1	70.3	7.3	7.8	3.9	3.7
					P = 2 C U	C 11							
					$\mathbf{K} = 0.00$	30517.							
-10	C ₂ H ₅ -	C_2H_{b}		68	144 -1 45 (0,1)		$C_{29}H_{25}NO_2$	77.1	77.3	8.1	8.2	4.5	-U. 5
-11	-(CH	[g] (-	11C1	75	172-173	13	C 26H24CINO	69.5	69.5	7.0	7.1	1.1	-U, O
12	- (CH	2)1	CH₃I	56	163-164	D	$C_{13}H_{25}INO_2$	55.9	56.1	5.8	5.6		
43	(CH	n)	HCI	60	185 188	ĸ	ColHasCINO ₂					3.9	3.5
					D 1.(MI	CIL							
					R = +CH	а С 6 П4	-						
4a	$C_2 \Pi_{k}$	$C_2 \Pi_5$	HCI	バ ()	132,030	в	$C_{20}H_{26}CINO_2$	69.0	68.7	5.5	7.5	1.0	04.J
45	(CH	2)4	HC1	73	188 189	Е	$C_{20}H_{24}C1NO_2$	69.5	69.5	7.0	7.1		
46	- CH	2) + -	$CH_{3}I$	53	111-113	м	C21H26INO	55.9	56.2	5.8	6.0	3. U	2.22
					$v = t \in C^{\infty}$	H.C.	T						
		() **			$\kappa = 4 - c + C + c + C + c + C + c + C + c + c +$	149 0 61	4						
15	CeHe-	C_2H_3	HCI	51	156-157	в	C23H32CINO	50.8	70.8	8.3	8.2	3.5	(1, 0)
18	-(CH	(2)4 -	HC1	66	178 - 179	H	$C_{23}H_{30}ClNO_3$	71.2	71.1	7.8	7.7	3.5	3.5
-19	-(CH	(2)4	CH3I	55	204-205	А	$C_{24}H_{32}INO_2$	58.4	58.5	6.5	Н.6	2.8	2.8
50	CH	2) 5 -	HCI	62	167-169	н	$C_{24}H_{32}C1NO_2$	51.7	71.3	8.0	8.2	3.5	3.1
		-, -			$\mathbf{D} = 2C\mathbf{U}$	OC H							
	a	~ • •			K = 2.0113	O C 611	.4						
51	CH3	CH3-	HCI	57	180-182	А	$C_{18}H_{22}CINO_3$	64.4	64.G	95. G	6.U	4.2	3, 9
52	СН3	s-C4H8-		33	160-161 (0.08)		$C_{21}H_{27}NO_3$	73.9	74.3	8.0	7.0		
5.3	CH3	$C_6H_8CH_2-$		37	181-184 (0.08)		$C_{24}H_{25}NO_{3}$	76.8	76.9	n, 7	7.6		
54	C H ₃	C6H11-6		36	215-216 (0.5)		C23H29NO3					3.8	3.6
55	CH2-	C5H11-e	Pic. ^m		157-159	A	C29H32N4O10	58.4	58.0	5, 4	5.5	9.4	9.2
50	CH3	CeH5-		56	218-220 (0.04)		C23H23NO3	76.4	75.6	6.4	15.4	4.0	3.9
57	C.H.	C ₂ H ₄ -	HCI	55	117-118	0	CathaciNO	66 0	66 3	7.9	7.9	3 9	3 9
59 58	Cull	C.H.	CH-I	8/	105 109	<u>۸</u>	Callas INO.	50.0	53.6	, <u>*</u>	5.0		
-1.9	C2H5-	C.H.	CH31	04	100-100 (0.0)	л.	C 11-NO	72 0		0,0 0 A	. स च क	4.1	1 9
09-2	C2H5-	C2H5-		12	184-190 (0.8)		C21H27INO3	10.9	(1), (1)	a.u	1.8	4.1	4.0
60	n-C3H7-	n-C3H7-		4	138-140 (0.5)		C22H29NO3				_	3.9	3.5
6 1	n-C3H7-	n-C₃H 7 -	Pic."		115 - 116	J,	$C_{28}H_{32}N_4O_{10}$	57.5	57.6	5.5	5.5	9.6	9.7
62	i-C₃H ,	C6H5CH2-	HCI	18	148 - 149	в	$C_{26}H_{30}ClNO_{8}$	71.0	71.4	6.9	6.8		
63	n-C4H9-	n-C4H9-		20	168-170 (0.04)		C24H38NO3	75.2	75.1	8.7	8.9	3.7	4.0
64	-(CH	(2) ₄	HCI	54	183-185	P	C20H24ClNO3	66.4	66.7	6.7	7.0	3.9	1.2
65	-(CH	2)6-	HCI	62	138-140	в	C22H28C1NO3	67.8	58.1	7.2	7.3	3.6	3,9
66	(Cal	$H_{11}N^{-k}$	2HC1	39	211-213	• •	C2+H28Cl-N2O2	59.0	59.1	6.6	6.6	5.6	6.8
87	-((`Ha)a	CHa)-		11	184 (0.05)	~	CaHaNO	70 4	70.9	6.8	6.6	.1 1	.1 .1
~ .	(- 2 + 2/2)	- (~ 1	204 (0.00)					5,0		- · · ·	*

123

124

 $-(CH_2)_{4}-$

CH₃I

HCl

37

35

181 - 183

185 - 186

U

C20H24Cl2INO2

D C19H90ClaNO9

47.3

56 9

47.4

57.5 5.0

4.8

5.0

5.7

2.8

3.5

2.9

3.9

LOCAL ANESTHETICS

TABLE I (Continued) Carbon- Hydrogen- Nitro Caled. Found Caled. Found Caled. Yield, M.p., ^b °C., or % b.p. (mm.) RS¢ Formula No. R₁ R, R₃X $R = 4 - CH_3 OC_6 H_4 -$ 68 $C_2H_5 C_2H_5 C_2H_5 C_2H_5-$ 142-144 (0.06) C₂₀H₂₅NO₃ 73.4 73.4 7.7 7.8 4.3 4.1 27 69ac 180-186 (0.12) 4.245 C21H2;NO3 73.9 73.8 8.0 8.0 4.1 $\begin{array}{ccc} 180-160 & (0.12) & C_{21}H_2; NO_3 \\ 196-198 & D & C_{20}H_{24}CINO_3 \\ 198-199 & A & C_{21}H_{26}INO_3 \\ 182-186 & (0.06) & C_{24}H_{21}NO_5 \end{array}$ -(CH₂)₄-3.9 3.6 70 HCL 66.4 66.0 6.7 6.6 74 71 -(CH₂)₄-CH₂1 3.0 2.7 77 -C8H16-75.6 75.7 8.2 8.0 3.7 3.7 72 23 $R = 3,5 - di - CH_3 OC_6 H_3 \begin{array}{ccc} C_2 H_{\delta}- & C_2 H_{\delta}- \\ C_2 H_{\delta}- & C_2 H_{\delta}- \end{array}$ 73 184-186 (0.3) C₂₁H₂₇NO₄ 70.6 70.6 7.6 7.3 3.9 3.6 53 163-164 74 CHI A $C_{22}H_{30}1NO_{4}$ 52.952.9 6.1 5.9 56-(CH₂)₄-75 HC1 27 199 - 202D C21H26C1NO4 64.4 64.3 6.7 7.2 3 6 3 7 R = 3,4,5-tri-CH₃OC₆H₂- 75 147-149 A C22H30CINOs 65 183-185 A C23H32INOs 57 92-95 M C24H31INOs 76 C₂H₄-C₂H₄-HCI 62 3 62.2 6.8 7.1 2.7 52 7 6.0 2.8 77 C₂H_b-C₂H₆-CHI 52 2 6.178 C2H5-C2H5-C₂H₅I 53.0 53.3 6.3 6.6 $R = 2 - C_2 H_5 O C_6 H_4 -$ A C19H24CINO3 3.8 79 CH-HCI 183-184 4.0CH3-65.265.3 7.0 55 6.9 80 CH3i-C3H7-166 - 168(0.15) $C_{21}H_{27}NO_{3}$ 8.0 4.1 3.8 27 73 9 74.3 8.1 154-156 (0.15) 81 C₂H₃- C_2H_5- C21H27NO3 74.1 73.9 8.0 7.8 4.14.1 44 113–114 N 145–146 R 166 (0.12) 3.8 82 C2H5- $C_2H_{\delta}-$ HCL 29 C21H28CINO3 66.7 66.7 7.4 3.7 7.5 83 $C_2H_{\delta} C_2H_5-$ CH3I 70 C22H30INO3 55.0 6.2 2.9 2.76.3 54.784^{ac} C_2H_5- C2H3-6 151-154 (0.07)C22H29NO3 74.3 74.1 8.2 8.2 3.9 4.1 $i - C_{3}H_{7} - C_{6}H_{3}CH_{2} -$ 77.6 85 C27H81NO8 77.7 7.8 17 7.5-(CH₂)₄-186-187 86 HC1 66.8 69 D $C_{21}H_{26}C1NO_3$ 67.1 7.0 7.1 3.7 3.787 $-(CH_2)_4-$ CH₃I 6.22.854 138-139 13 13 136-137 B C25 H22 Br NO5 194-196 P C22 H25 CINO3 176-177 A C22 H30 CINO5 185-188 D C22 H30 Cl2 N2 O3 171-172 K C21 H26 CINO4 138 - 139Α $C_{22}H_{28}INO_3$ 55.3 2.9 54.95.9 EBAh 88 -(CH₂)₄ 512.82.789 -(CH₂)₅-HCI 67.8 67.9 7.2 7.3 3.6 76 3.490 -(CH₂)₆-HCI 43 68.4 68.1 7.5 7.1 3.5 3.5 $-C_{\delta}H_{11}N^{-k}$ 91 2HC1 50 59.9 60.1 6.9 6.8 6.46.5 $-(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2-$ 64.4 92 HCI 37 64.26.7 6.8 $R = 4 - C_2 H_5 O C_6 H_4 \begin{array}{rcl} C_2H_{5}-&C_2H_{5}-\\ n-C_4H_{9}-&n-C_4H_{9}-\\ n-C_4H_{9}-&n-C_4H_{9}-\end{array}$ 143-145 B C₂₁H₂₈C1NO₃ 93 HCI 30 66.7 66.9 7.57.53.73.9 94 16 183-184 (0.05) C25H25NO3 3.7 3.7 $Pic.^{m}$ 122-123 95 Δ 50 4 33 C31H38N4O10 50 3 6 1 6 2 -(CH₂)₄-96 HC1 168-169 75 D C21H26C1NO3 67.167.4 7.0 7 1 3 7 3.9 $\begin{array}{ccccc} 168{-}169 & D & C_{21}H_{26}CINO_3 \\ 193{-}194 & D & C_{22}H_{28}INO_3 \\ 194{-}196 & (0,02) & C_{25}H_{32}NO_3 \end{array}$ 97 -(CH2)4-CH₃I 526.0 2.9 54 9 55.4 5.9 2.698 $-C_{8}H_{16}-^{j}$ 12 75.9 75.8 8.4 8.6 3.5 3.5 $R = 4 \cdot n \cdot C_4 H_9 O C_6 H_4 C_2H_{\delta} C_2H_{\delta}-$ 160-166 (0.06) C23H31NO3 155-156 O C23H30C1NO 99 3.8 4.0 11 155-156 100 $-(CH_2)_4-$ HCI 36 C23H80C1NO3 3.5 3.8 101 -(CH₂)₄-CH₃I 53 150-151 A C24H321NO3 56.6 56.8 6.3 6.22.82.8 $R = 4 \cdot FC_6H_4 -$ C2H5- C2H5-102 HCL **.**)& 111-113 H C19H23ClFNO2 64.965.0 6.6 6.73.43.9-(CH₂)₄-103 HCI 11 151 - 154S C19H21C1FNO2 65.2 64.46.1 7.6 4.0 3.5 $R = 2 - ClC_6H_4$ -104 C2H5- $C_2H_{\delta}-$ 164-165 (0.4) C₁₉H₂₂ClNO₂ 38 68.8 69. D 6.76.84.24.3 C_2H_{δ} 105 90--92 CH₃I C2H5-28 $C_{20}H_{25}C1INO_2$ 50.750.85.3 5.6 Т -(CH₂) 106 HC1 172 - 17573 $D = C_{19}H_{21}Cl_2N_2O_2$ 62.3 62.45.86.1 3.8 4.2-(CH₂)₄-EBAh $\begin{array}{cccc} 112 & 110 & D & C_{13}112(C_{12}, V_{2}, O_{2}) \\ 168-170 & D & C_{23}H_{27}BrC1NO_{4} \end{array}$ 107 72 55.655.9 5.66.0 $R = 4 - C1C_6H_4 -$ 168–170 231–232 i-C3H7-108 CH3- $B \qquad C_{19}H_{23}Cl_2NO_2$ HC1 58 62 0 62 2 6.3 6 1 38 4.0 109 CH3i-C3H7-CH₃I 70 т C20H25ClINO2 50 7 50.6 53 5.43.0 3.0 110 $C_2H_{\delta} C_2H_5-$ HCI 135 - 141C19H23Cl2NO2 58в 62.261.8 6.6 6 5 3.8 3.8 111 C₂H₅- $C_2H_{\delta}-$ CH₃I $\overline{59}$ 163 - 165A B P C20H25ClINO2 50.7 50.7 5.3 5.3 112 $C_2H_{\delta} C_2H_5 EBA^{h}$ 38 164 - 166C23H29BrClNO4 55.7 2.8 27 55.4 55 5.9 113ªd C₂H₂-C2HA-HCI 32 187 - 189 $C_{23}H_{24}C_{12}NO_{2}$ 66.0 65.8 6.0 5.9 3.4 3.3 114 n-CaHrn-CaH;-159-162 (0.13) 36 $C_{21}H_{26}C1NO_2$ 70.1 70.4 7.3 7.7 3.9 3.8 n-C4H9n-C₄H9--115 170-172 (0.03) 36 $C_{23}H_{30}C1NO_2$ 71.271.2 7.8 7.9 3.6 3.5 170-112 -(CH₂)₄-116 HC1 71А $C_{19}H_{21}Cl_2NO_2$ 3.8 3.8 $-(CH_2)_{4-}$ 117 CH₃ľ 64 A C29H23C11NO2 50.950.7 4.9 4.63.02.7A A 118 -(CH₂)₈-HCL 58 206 - 208 $C_{21}H_{25}Cl_2NO_2$ 64.064.1 6.4 6.6 3.6 3.9 119 -(CH2)6-CH₃1 52.860 190-191 C22H27C11NO2 52.92.85.55.12.3 $R = 2,4 \cdot di \cdot ClC_6H_3 C_2H_{\delta} - C_2H_{\delta} - (CH_2)_{4} -$ 120 $C_2H_{\delta} 180-184 (0.4) C_{19}H_{21}Cl_2NO_2$ 17 62.3 62.36.0 5.83.8 4.0121HC1 50 178-180 A C19H20C13NO2 56.9 56, 45.0 5.3 3.53.7 $R = 3.4 - di - ClC_6H_{2}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} C_2H_{\delta}-&&C_2H_{\delta}-\\ C_2H_{\delta}-&&C_2H_{\delta}-\end{array}$ 122 HCI 186–187 A C₁₉H₂₂Cl₃NO₂ 2856 7 57.25.5 5.63.5 3.3

					TABLE I (Contir	ued)				. ~		
No.	R_1	\mathbf{R}_{2}	R _{\$} X	Vield, %	M.p., ^b °C., or b.p. (mm.)	RS⊄	Formula	Calcd.	bon- Found	—Analy —Hydr Calcd.	ses,ª % ogen Found	Calcd.	ogen Found
					$R = 3 \cdot B$	rC₀H₄	-						
125	C₂H₅-	C2Hs-	HCI	60	127-128	в	C19H22BrCINO2	55.3	55,3	5.6	5.6		
126	-((CH ₂) ₄	HCI	67	176-177	в	$C_{19}H_{21}BrClNO_2$	55.6	55.4	5.2	5.1	3.4	3.1
127	-((CH	CaHen	CH₃I	37	195-197	A	C ₂₀ H ₂₃ BrINO ₂	61 1	01.9	1.0	- 0	2.7	2.9
129	CH3-	CsH3		7	202-204 (0.00) 202-204 (0.07)		C22H20BrNO2 C94H24BrNO2	65.8	66.0	4.9	5.8	3.2	3.6
					$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$	-C.H	-		0010	0.0	0	÷	
130	Catter	Caller	HCI	1'2	146 149	D	- C. H. D-CINCO.	65 D	== 0	5 0	E 7		
131	-(0	(Hy)4-	HCI	13 67	215-218	A	C19H23BrCINO2	55 6	55 5	5.0 5.2	0.7 4.9		
132	(C	$(H_2)_4 -$	CH3I	37	108-109	D	C ₂₀ H ₂₃ BrJNO ₂	46.5	46.6	4.5	4.2	2.7	2.7
133	-(0	$(K_2)_{1-}$	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^h$	57	159 - 162	D	C23H27BrNO4	51.0	51.2	5.0	5.1		
					$R = 3 \cdot NC$	D₂C6H	1						
134	$C_2H_{\delta}-$	C_2H_3-	HCI	60	143 - 145	в	$C_{19}H_{23}ClN_2O_4$	60.2	59.8	6.1	5.9		
135 ^a ?	C ₂ H ₅ -	C2H3-	HC1	80	186-188	A	$C_{13}H_{19}ClN_2O_4$	51.6	51.9	6.3	6.4		
135	-(0	$(H_2)_{i+1}$	HCI CHJ	66 98	196-197	A	$C_{19}H_{21}CIN_2O_7$	60.6	60.5	5.6	6.0	7.4	7.4
101	-(C	-112/4-	CH3I	28	123-120		C20H231N2O4	49.8	49.0	4.8	4.0	0.0	0.0
100					$R = 4 \cdot NC$	O_2C_6H	4						
138	CH3-	CH	HCI	66	195-198	D	$C_{17}H_{19}CIN_2O_4$	58.2	57.9	5.5	5.4	8.0	8.2
140	CoH ₃ -	Caller	HCI	24	151-153	D D	CusHa CIN2O5	ວ7.ວ ຄາ.ຈ	60 5	0.4	6.1	7 4	7.0 7.0
14144	C ₂ H ₀ -	C2H3	HCI'	69	101-102	В	C19H22Cl2N2O4	54.0	54.5	5.5	5.5	6.6	7.0
142^{ad}	C2113-	C2H3-	HC1	46	186-187	в	$C_{23}H_{25}ClN_2O_4$	64.4	64.2	5.9	5.6	6.5	6.6
143	- (C	CH2)4-	HC1	79	201-203	D	$C_{14}H_{21}CIN_2O_4$	60.6	60.1	5.6	5.4	7.4	7.3
14444	-(C	$(H_2)_4 -$	HC1	77	236-237	A	$C_{19}H_{27}ClN_2O_4$	59.6	59.8	7.1	7.0	7.3	7.0
14544	-(C	$H_2)_4 -$	HC1 ⁿ	83	138-139	A	$C_{19}H_{20}ClN_2O_4$	54.3	54.8	5.0	5.2	6.7	6.8
140	-(C	$(H_2)_5 -$	CHA	72	150-159	A. 4	C_2 $H_{23}CIN_2O_3$	50 S	- 08.0 50.5	0.2 5.1	0.0	0.9	0.8
148	-(C	$(H_2)_6 -$	HCI	70	193-194	A	Co1H251N204	62.3	62.4	6.2	5.9	6.9	7.2
149	-(CI:2	2O(CH2)2-	HC1'	49	179-182	v	$C_{19}H_{23}C1N_2O_6$	55.5	55.4	5.6	6.0	6.8	7.1
					R = 3 - NH	H₂C₄H	4						
150^{ab}	C₂H₅→	C.Hs-	HCI	67	115-117	D	C+2He1('IN2O)	57 2	56.9	78	7.8	10.3	10.4
151	-(C	(H ₂);	HCl	39	153-155	v	C19H23ClN2O2	65.8	65.6	6.7	7.0	8.1	7.9
					$R = 4 \cdot N F$	₽C*H	-						
152	CH-	C'His-	HC1º	28	923-225	120011 X	° Collacura	63-3	60-8	<u>6</u> 8	6.8	8.3	8.3
153	CH	i-C3H7-	2HC1	24	183-186	0	C19H25ClyN2O2	59.2	59.1	6.8	7.0	7.3	7.2
154	$C_2H_h -$	C2H5-	HCl^{n}	71	200-201	Λ	C19H24CIN2O2	63.8	64.0	7.3	7.3	7.8	7.1
15544	C_2H_{3}	C_2H_3-		21	96-97	Y	$C_{19}H_{23}CIN_2O_2$	65.8	65.8	6.7	6.6	8.1	8.2
15644	$C_2 II_3 -$	C2H3-	HCl ^A	41	211214	v	$C_{25}H_{27}C1N_2O_2$	67.7	68.3	6.9	6.9	6.9	7.4
157	(C	.1129.14→ `H.a.)	HC	42	194-196	A C	CroH25CIN2O5	62,5 66,6	63.0 66 9	5.9	0.4 7 9	7.8	4.8 8.9
159	··(CII2	$(2O(CH_2)_2 - $	HCl ⁿ	59	218-220	v	C19H23C1N2O2	61.4	61.1	6.5	6.3	7.5	7.9
					R = 4.01	eridy1							
169	Catter	CoHe-	214(')	37	105.196	A .	CyrthyChNaOr	57.74	57 8	7.0	6.6	7 13	78
161	(C	(H ₂) ₄ -	2HCI	10	201-203	A	$C_{18}H_{22}Cl_2N_2O_2$	01.0		1.0	17.0	7.6	7.9
					R = 2 - f	arvl-							
162	$C_2 H_5$	Calls	нсі	55	118-120	0	C1:H2:CINO3	63.1	62.7	6.9	7.1	4.3	4.1
163	-(C	112)4-	HCI	83	205-208	A	C17H20CINO3	63.5	63.6	6,3	6.2		
					$\mathbf{R} = 2 \cdot t \mathbf{h}$	uienvl	-						
164	((11.)		11	160-166 (0.3)	inchi y r	CHUNDOS	67 7	68.3	6.4	6.8	4.7	4.8
				••	D = 9 evolution	ontul	+U1			5			
165	CIT	() 17		F 0	K = 2-cyclop	entyle			70.0	0.0	10.0		
100	C2116 -	C2115 -		53	144146 (0, 1)		C20H32NO2	(0.1	70.0	9.8	10.0		
					$R = C_6 H_5 C_5$	H=C	H-						
166	CH3-	CH3-	HCI	62	201203	P	$C_{19}H_{22}CINO_2$	68.8	68.7	6.7	6.9	4.2	4.5
167	CH3-	2-C3H7-	HCI	58	164-166	в	C ₂₁ H ₂₆ CINO ₂	70.1	69.8 77.6	7.3	7.1	43	4 3
169	C_2H_5	C2115-	HCI	74	118-120	0	C211125.0 O2	10.0		1.0	1.0	1,.,	1.0
170^{aa}	C ₂ H ₅ -	C_2H_5-	HCI	19	93-96	D	$C_{21}H_{25}Cl_2NO_2$	64.0	63.2	6.4	6.9	3.4	3.7
171	-(C	(H ₂) ₄	HCI	21	200-202	в	$C_{21}H_{24}C1NO_2$	70.5	70.5	6.8	6.6	3.9	3,2
172	-(C	$(\mathbf{H}_2)_{5}$	HC1	54	202-204	P	$C_{22}H_{26}ClNO_2$	71.1	71.2	7.1	6.9	3.8	3.8
175	-{C -(CH_)	$H_{1} = 0$	HCI	07 21	208-210	v	Ca HaCINO2	67.5	67.2	6.5	67	38	37
	(0111)	20(C112)2	1101	- D -		, сч		01.0	01.2	0.0	0	0.0	0.11
177	10	** \	IICI	K =	= 3,4-(UCH ₂ U)			04 -	C 4 7	<u> </u>	~ 0	2 4	4.0
110	-(C	.113)4-	пц	63	199-198	ы 	C21 H24CINO4	04.7	04.1	0.2	9,9	0.0	¥.U
					$\kappa = 2 \cdot NO_2C_6H$	1₄CH=				<u> </u>		- -	
176	(C	(H₂)₄-	HC1	49	127-13()	в	C21H23CIN;O4	62.6	6 2 . d	5.8	5.8	7.0	6. 7
					$R = C_6 H_{a}$	OCH	2						
177	CH3-	CH3-	HCI	72	165 - 167	Α	$C_{18}H_{22}ClNO_3$	64.4	64.1	6.6	6.5	4.2	4.4
178 170	CH3-	i-C₃H , –	HCI	76	187-188	D	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ ClNO ₃	66.0 66.0	66.0	7.2	7.1	3.9	4.1
110	22115-	~21 5-	1101	00	100-140	D	C201126CIN U3	00.0	00.0	1.4	(.0	0.8	4.0

LOCAL ANESTHETICS

					TABLE I	(Conc	luded)						
No	B.	R.	R1X	Yield,	M.p., ^b °C., or b.p. (mm)	RS	Formula	Calcd.	rbon- Found	-Analy -Hydi Calcd	ses,ª %- rogen Found	Nitr Calcd.	ogen Found
180	CoHo-	CoHe-	CoHeI	43	93-95	в	C ₂₂ H ₂₀ INO ₂					2.9	2.6
181	C2H5-	C+H5-	EBA^{h}	52	148-149	D	Cr4Hr2BrNO5	58.3	58.2	6.5	6.4	2.8	2.8
182	-(0	$(H_2)_{4-}$	HC1	73	205-206	A	C20H24CINO3	66.4	66.4	6.7	6.7	3.9	3.7
183	-(C	(H ₂) ₄ -	EBA^h	80	139-140	в	C24H30BrNOs	58.5	58.4	6.1	6,3	2,8	2.7
				J	$R = 4 \cdot C1 \cdot 2 \cdot C1$	H₃C₀H	[₃ OCH ₂						
184	C ₂ H ₅ -	C₂H₅-	HCI	28	144-147	в	$C_{21}H_{27}Cl_2NO_2$	61.2	60.7	6,6	6.2		
185	-(C	(H2)	HC1	37	126 - 129	в	$C_{21}H_{25}C_{12}NO_{3}$	61.5	61.3	6.1	6.2	3.4	3,3
					$R = C_6 H_5 C_6$	осно	CH₃						
186	CH2-	CH3-	HC1	32	123-125	D	C19H24C1NO2					4.0	3.7
187	CH-	i-C3H7	HCI	17	162 - 164	0	C21H28CINO3					3.7	3.9
188	C₂H₅–	C ₂ H _b -		36	158-160 (0.18)		$C_{21}H_{21}NO_3$	73.9	73.6	8.0	7.7		
189	C2H5-	$C_2H_{\delta}-$	CH₃I	19	118-120	в	C22H30INO3	54.7	51.8	6.3	6.2	2.9	2.7
190	-(0	$(H_2)_4 -$		42	180-181 (0.5)		C21H25NO3	74.3	74.5	7.4	7.6	4.1	3.8
191	-(C	H2/4-	CH2I	67	115-120	в	C22H23INO2	54.9	54.6	5.9	6.0		

^a $R_4 = C_8H_8$ unless otherwise shown as superscript in the compound no. column; ^{au} = p-chlorophenyl; ^{ab} = H; ^{ac} = p-tolyl; ^{ad} = 1-naphthyl; ^{as} = cyclohexyl. ^b Melting points are not corrected and were taken on a Fisher-Johns melting point block. ^c RS = solvent for recrystallization: A = ethanol, B = methyl ethyl ketone, C = ethanol-acctonitrile, D = isopropyl alcohol, E = methyl ethyl ketone-ethanol, F = methyl ethyl ketone-isopropyl alcohol, G = isoamyl alcohol- ethanol, H = methyl ethyl ketone-isopropyl ether, I = ethyl acetate-ethanol. J = ethyl acetate-ethanol, K = acetone-ethyl acetate, N = acetone. K = acetone-ethyl acetate, T = 95% ethanol, U = ethyl acetate-methanol, R = ethanol-isopropyl alcohol, S = methyl ethyl ketone-isopropyl ether. ^d Analyses by Weiler and Strauss, Oxford, England. ^e C₈H₁₆ is derived with the attached N, and R₁ + R₂ from 2-methyl-5-ethylpiperidine. ^kC₈H₁₀N- is derived with attached N from 4-methylpiperazine. ⁱ C₆H₁₉O- with attached N is derived from 2,6-dimethylmorpholine. ^m Pic. = picric acid. ^m The compound crystallized as a monohydrate. ^p Compound 1, 11 and 166 are described pharmacologically without chemical data by G. A. Alles and P. K. Knoefel, Arch. intern. pharm., 47, 96 (1934); compound 32 has been reported by F. F. Blicke and E. S. Blake, THIS JOURNAL, 52, 235 (1930), m.p. 210-212^o.

TABLE II

ESTERS OF 2-AMINO-2-PHENYLETHANOLS RCOOCH₂CH(C₆H₅)NR₁R₂·R₃X^a

No.	R	R₃X	$\mathbf{Y}_{ield}, \%$	M.p., 0 °C., or b.p. (mm.)	RS¢	Formula	Car Caled.	bou Found	Analys — Hydi Calcd,	rogen Found	—-Nitr Calcd.	ogen Found
					R1, R	$L_2 = C_2 H_5 -$						
192	C ₆ H ₅ -	HC1	52	151 - 152	В	$C_{19}H_{24}CINO_2$	68.4	68.4	7.3	7.5	4.2	4.0
193	C ₆ H ₅ -	CH₃I	73	217-219	х	$C_{20}H_{26}INO_2$	54.7	54.6	6.0	5.8		
194	2-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ -		30	158-160 (0.12)	$C_{20}H_{25}NO_3$	73.4	72.9	7.7	7.7	4.3	4.3
195	2-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄ -	CH3I	67	175-177	R	$C_{21}H_{28}INO_3$	53.7	53.9	6.0	5.8		
196	$2 - C_2 H_5 O C_6 H_4 -$		15	158 (0.1)		$C_{21}H_{27}NO_3$	73.9	73.4	8.0	8.3	4,1	4.4
				R_1 ·	$+ R_{i} =$	= -(CH ₂) ₄ -						
197	4-NO₂C6H₄-	HC1	29	222 - 225	Α	$C_{19}H_{21}CIN_2O_4$	60.6	60.7	5.6	5.8	7.4	7.4
198	$4 - NH_2C_6H_4 -$	HC1	47	216 - 218	С	$C_{19}H_{23}ClN_2O_2$	65.8	65.9	6.7	6.8	8.1	7.9
199	C6H3CH==CH-	HC1	8	156 - 159	Z	$C_{21}H_{24}C1NO_2$	70.5	70.0	6.8	7.0	3.9	4.3
a 1	Destaurates of T-11	TT 1			:	1 1						

^a Footnotes of Table II have same significance as in Table 1.

that greatest activity is obtained with R = phenyl, followed by 2-furyl, 2-thienyl and 4-pyridyl in decreasing order of activity.

Substitution of R as cyclopentylethyl⁵ (compound 165) was not associated with a particularly good anesthetic response.

The cinnamates⁶ compared favorably with the benzoates except where R_1R_2N- was dimethylamino (compound 2 vs. 166), and morpholino (compound 36 vs. 174). When substituted cinnamates were used, activity was depressed (compounds 175, 176 vs. 171).

Although acylation of the usual amino alcohols with aralkyl groups has been associated with rela-

(5) For a discussion on anesthetic effects of esters of aliphatic acids, see T. E. Jones and C. O. Wilson, J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., Sci. Ed., 42, 340 (1953).

(6) R. P. Perry, D. C. Jones and C. Pratt, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 3340 (1956), found cinnamates superior to benzoates.

tively poor activity⁷ the use of the aryloxyacetic acids⁸ as acylating agents with the amino alcohols of this series yielded potent and relatively non-toxic anesthetics (compounds 178, 182, 185).

The factor of substitution in the system R = phenyl was explored extensively.

Various workers have utilized alkyl groups to introduce steric factors making the resultant ester less vulnerable to hydrolysis,^{9,10} or introduced bulky groups¹¹ with the presumed objective of

(7) O. Kamm, ibid., 42, 1030 (1920).

(8) F. C. G. Hoskin, *ibid.*, **78**, 3121 (1958), prepared a series of diethylaminoethyl esters of the plant growth-regulating phenoxyacetic acids but did not assess these for anesthetic activity.

(9) I. Dvoretzky and G. H. Richter, J. Org. Chem., 18, 615 (1953).

(10) N. Rabjohn, J. W. Fronabarger and W. W. Linstromberg, *ibid.*, **20**, 271 (1955).

(11) (a) L. B. Dale, Jr., and E. Voss, J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., Sci. Ed., 42, 685 (1953); (b) G. C. Gross and E. Voss, *ibid.*, 46, 167 (1957).

TABLE III

	-	6'0	~			6'0	~
	in, ¹ 65.	a i	6, d.		n,b E.	D ₆₀ , nI.	6. d.
о. a	ш(-); Г./.	E C	Ū,	<i>a</i> .	.:/к	(H)	ď₹.
ž	L B	A.N.	IT	ž	LLE mg	AР ш	ЦЦ ЦЦ
2	450	0.54		85	750	0	
3	250	0	80	86	40 0	0.21	
4	100	0 -	31	87	200	17.5	45
о 6	750	0.7	110	88	200	14	0
7	>1000	4	110	89 90	>1000	2.0	
8	>1000	12		91	500	1.9	0
10	>1000	0		92	>1000	11	
11	>1000	0.32		93	>1000	0.47	
14	300	0.76	1.6	94	>1000	0	
18	100	20.5	11	96	1000	0.5	
19	75	15.5	SI	97	>1000	<i>1</i> .0	0
20	50	16	5.6	99	>1000	õ	ŏ
21	50	6.5	17	100	1000	1.2	-
22	>1000	9.4		101	200	21	0
23	250		0	102	>1000	0.5	
24	200	>20	0	104	750		~ -
20 26	1000	0 \20	0	105	250	13	37
27	>1000	20	0	100	200	0,44 5.4	
28	>1000	õ	S1,	108	>1000	6.5	
29	1000	0.45		109	400	10	105
30	150	31	42	110	>1000	1.3	
31	150	13.5	S1.	111	250	0	86
32	1000	3.8		112	400	25	
33 34	>1000	0 99		113	>1000	0	0
35	750	1 2		114	>1000	0	0
36	>1000	8.2		116	750	0.4	0
37	>1000	>10		117	200	29	35
38	300	2.6		119	200	0	38
39	750	10.3		120	>1000	22	
40	>1000	0.43		121	>1000	7.5	
41	>1000	0.46	0	122	>1000	15	70
43	>100	20	0	123	1000	1 1	72
44	>1000	1.3		125	>1000	0	
45	1000	0.67		126	>1000	0.23	
46	250	>20	85	127	300	0	100
47	1000	0	0	128		11	
48	400	0.9	0	129	. 1000	5.5	
50	>1000		0	130	>1000	7.8	
51	250	0.4		132	200	0	0
52	750	6.9		133	250	27.5	
53	>1000	0		134	>1000	4.5	
54	1000	7		135	750	16.8	
56	750	11		136	200	0.17	
57 29	400	0.17	0 7 5	137	300	0	
59	100	2	37.0	130	750 N1000	10.5	
60	750	3		140	350	8.4	
62	>1000	0		141	>1000	>30	
63	>1000	0	0	142	>1000	0	
64	500	0.35		143	250	0.3	
60 66	1000	0.4	075	144	750		
67	>1000	9 15	210	145	×1000	3.3 8 8	
68	750	1.0		140	>1000	0.0	270
69	>1000	7		149	>1000	0	
70	750	0.55		150	>1000	15	
71	200	0	0	151	150	0.21	
72	>1000	0		152	100	0	
73 74	200	0	60	153	100	9.4	
75	>1000	0 48	00	154	300	4 1	
76	400	0.33	130	157	50	0.71	
77	200	20	70	158	75	0.2	
78	75	8	25	159	750	12.5	
79	300	0.26		160	200	22	
80	500	0.14		161	250	37	
83 83	150	0.52	37 5	162	750	2 5	
84	750	0.32	01.0	164	300	2.0 5	

165	>1000	23		183	1000	15	0	
166	500	5.4	0	184	1000	0	0	
167	>1000	1.5		185	>1000	3.2		
168	400	0.6		186	1000	8.9		
170	>1000	2.7		187	750	8.5		
171	>1000	2.8		188	>1000			
172	>1000	5, 2		189	356	13	120	
173	750	0.45		190	450			
174	1000	0		191	750	9.8	0	
175	>1000	7.4	0	192	>1000	1,9		
176	1000	>30		193	150	>20	0	
177	>1000	>20		194	359	0.9	0	
178	400	0.9		195	200	>10	U	
179	750	17.2		196	750	0.54	0	
180	350	>20	0	197	600	14		
181	1000	14.8	0	198	250	3.2		
I 82	450	0.65		199	750	8		

^a The number refers to the compound listed by this number in Tables I and II. ^b The \hat{LD}_{min} is the minimum lethal dose established subcutaneously (s.c.) in mice and exlethal dose established subcutaneously (s.c.) in mice and expressed in mg./kg. ° The method used for testing has been described; S. L. Shapiro, K. Weinberg, T. Bazga and L. Freedman, THIS JOURNAL, **80**, 3734 (1958). The ANED₅₀ is reported as anesthetic dose in mg./ml. Control drugs: procaine, LD_{min} . 200 mg./kg., $ANED_{50}$ 15 mg./ml; xylocaine, LD_{min} . 225 mg./kg., $ANED_{50}$ 6.8 mg./ml; a The TED₅₀ is the dosage level in mg./kg. for mice which protects 50% of the animals from the neurotoxicity (tremors) induced by the administration of tremorine. The test as herein performed was developed by Dr. G. Ungar of our Pharmacology Laboratories. The compound to be tested is Pharmacology Laboratories. The compound to be tested is injected s.c. in mice at levels corresponding to 1/3, 1/6, 1/12, Injected s.c. in mice at levels corresponding to 7_{3} , 7_{6} , 7_{12} , etc., of the LD_{min}. Four mice are used at each test level. Ten minutes later, tremorine ditartrate is injected s.c. at a level of 30 mg./kg. One hour after the injection of tremorine, the mice are observed for the presence of tremors by holding the animals by the tail for ten seconds. If no tremors are noted the animal is adjudged protected by the test compound. A graphic plot of the percentage of animals protected at each dose level of the test drug is made and the dosage level which protects 50% of the animals is established and reported as the TED₈₀ (effective dose protecting 50% of the animals from tremors). • The procedure for evaluation of the blood pressure response described in the discussion of the pharmacological results has been reported by S. L. Shapiro, H. Soloway and L. Freedman, THIS JOURNAL, 80, 2743 (1958). The ganglionic blocking effects were established in similarly anesthetized dogs. / Control drugs evaluated by this method give a TED₅₀: atropine 4 mg./kg.; a-cycloehxyl-a-phenyl-1-piperidine-propanol hydrochloride (Artane) 2 mg./kg. ${}^{\rho}$ A zero (0) in the ANED₈₀ column is indicative of no noted anesthetic activity in the dosage ranges evaluated.

TABLE IV

ANESTHETIC VS. ADRENALIN EFFECT

ANED50, mg./ml.	Effect on Adrenalin ^{a, b} Potentiation			_	Inhibi- tion					
	2	96	154	5	43	66	93	136	170	38
	32	108	157	7	44	67	100	138	173	41
	39	121	158	11	45	69	102	146	178	76
	52	122	164	22	48	75	106	153	185	126
$<\!15$	56	124	171	29	51	80	110	159	186	143
	57	139	175	34	54	81	116	162	196	172
	70	140	182	35	59	89	130	163	198	187
	79	145	194	36	64	90	131	166		192
	92	151	197	40	65	91	134	167		
	27	94	161	10	99	141	160			179
15 +	33	98	177	50	113	142	174			
	37	114		53	125	149	176			
	72	120		62	135	150	184			

^a The test procedure was a modification of the method outlined by G. E. Ullyot and J. F. Kerwin, "Medicinal Chemistry," Vol. II, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1956, p. 267, method 5. ^b The numbers refer to the compound listed by this number in Tables I and II.

methyl groups in the benzoyl radical. With the halogenated¹⁸ substituents, the p-fluoro derivative (compound 102) showed the anticipated similarity to its hydrogen equivalent,^{14,15} while a 3-bromo derivative (compound 126) was the most active of the halogen substitution products studied. Certain of the halogen derivatives, in contrast to the majority of the structures evaluated, and in particular compounds 110 and 122, were irritant at levels considerably higher than the ANED₅₀ when test solutions were administered directly on the eye.

With the nitro compounds good anesthetic activity was noted, the *m*-nitro group being more effective than the *p*-nitro group. When assessed against the corresponding amino structures, many of the nitro derivatives proved to be superior (compound 136 vs. 151; 138 vs. 152; 139 vs. 153; 143 vs. 157). However, in selected instances, considerable improvement in the anesthetic potency was noted upon reduction to the amino compounds (compound 140 vs. 154; 146 vs. 158).

In contrast to the majority of the structures evaluated, the amino derivatives showed fairly high toxicities (compounds 151, 152, 153, 154, 157, 158) with the noted lethality occurring at dosage levels of the order of 1/20 that observed with many of the other equally active structures. Consequently, this toxicity factor, coupled with a more difficult synthetic path as well as potential difficulties in stabilization of the final product in solution form, discouraged a more extensive study of amino derivatives.

One additional facet explored, in view of the significance of substitution in the *m*-position, was the preparation of the *meta* analog of procaine (compound 150) which proved to be about as active as procaine and considerably less toxic.

In recent years, the significance of ring-substituted alkoxy¹⁶ and polyalkoxy substituents¹⁷ has been the subject of intensive study. Certain generalizations may be made from the observations of the various workers. In the monoalkoxy series, ethoxy is superior to methoxy^{16f} and activity

(12) J. R. Boissier, C. Malen, C. Dumont and R. Mauge, Compl. rend., 243, 529 (1956).

(13) (a) M. Rubin, H. C. Marks, H. Wishinsky and A. Lanzilotti,
THIS JOURNAL, 68, 623 (1946); (b) S. J. Childress, M. G. Cordasco,
O. J. Plekss and L. Reiner, *ibid.*, 76, 3988 (1954); (c) E. R. Andrews,
M. G. Van Campen and E. L. Schumann, *ibid.*, 75, 4003 (1953).

(14) H. L. Friedman, American Chemical Society, Abstracts New York Meeting, September, 1954, p. 23-N.

(15) G. A. Oláh, A. E. Pavlath, J. A. Oláh and F. Herr, J. Org. Chem., 22, 879 (1957).

(16) (a) J. S. Pierce, M. J. Fletcher and S. L. Cooke, Jr., THIS JOUR-NAL, **76**, 1956 (1954); (b) M. B. Winstead, S. H. Wishnoff and R. W. Bost, *ibid.*, **77**, 772 (1955); (c) F. P. Luduena and J. O. Hoppe, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therap., **117**, 89 (1956); (d) H. Vanderhaeghe, P. Kolosy and M. Claesen, J. Pharm. and Pharmacol., **6**, 119 (1954); (e) S. M. McElvain and T. P. Carney, THIS JOURNAL, **68**, 2592 (1946); (f) H. B. Wright and M. B. Moore, *ibid.*, **76**, 4396 (1954); (g) A. Sekera, A. Borovanský, I. Jakubec, K. Palát and Č. Vrba, Českoslov. farm., **5**, 388 (1956) [C. A., **51**, 8669a (1957)].

farm., 5, 388 (1956) [C. A., 51, 8669a (1957)].
(17) (a) R. P. Perry, D. C. Jones and C. Pratt, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 3403 (1956); (b) E. Epstein and M. Meyer, *ibid.*, 77, 4059 (1955); (c) N. Rabjohn and A. Mendel, J. Org. Chem., 21, 218 (1956); (d) N. Rabjohn and A. Mendel, *ibid.*, 22, 986 (1957).

reaches a maximum with increasing chain length of the alkoxy substituent up to six carbon atoms,16d then falls abruptly. The fall in activity with the larger substituents probably is due to a solubility factor, 16d, 17d Polyalkoxylation has been associated with enhanced activity using two alkoxy groups,17a and disappearance of activity with three alkoxy groups ^{17d} While the position of the alkoxy group is significant in many of the series, no generalizations can be made as to the locus for optimal anesthetic effect. In this series, the methoxy and ethoxy derivatives were relatively non-toxic and extremely potent compounds except in the instance where the R_1R_2N - group was dimethylamino (compounds 51, 79) in which the toxicities approached that of procaine. With the monoalkoxy structures the data do not clearly distinguish between the absolute anesthetic potency of structures bearing methoxy vs. ethoxy groups, although the ethoxy structures are uniformly less toxic (see compound 51 vs. 79; 57 vs. 82; 64 vs. 86; 65 vs. 90; 67 vs. 92 for comparison of o-alkoxy derivatives; and 71 vs. 96 for p-alkoxy derivatives). When the bulk of the alkoxy group was increased as n-butoxy, noted activity in otherwise active structures was decreased (compound 100 vs. 96) or disappeared (compound 99 vs. 93). Failure to note the augmented response on increasing the size of the alkoxy group, as observed by others, ^{16d} might be reconciled with the possibility of insufficient solubility of these *n*-butoxy structures due to the presence of the additional phenyl group (R_4) in the alkylene linking element in our series.

LOCAL ANESTHETICS

Polyalkoxy derivatives where examined showed excellent activity (compounds 75, 76). In view of the high activity of compound 76, it is of particular interest that the β -diethylaminoethyl 3,4,5triethoxybenzoate^{17d} does not possess local anesthetic properties.

In the assessment of the role of the secondary amino group on the noted anesthetic activity, in the majority of cases the pyrrolidino group¹⁸ showed the best response. With only two exceptions, moreover (compounds 80, 173), either the pyrrolidino or the diethylamino group afforded the most active structure in terms of relationship to other structural parameters. The dimethylamino structures showed lessened activity and, most important, heightened toxicity (compounds 2, 51, 79), while the more bulky nitrogeneous substituents afforded diminished anesthetic potency.

The critical and distinctive structural feature of this investigation concerned the linking elements $-CH((R_4)CH_2-$ and $-CH_2CH(R_4)-$. In the initial contemplation of this work it was hoped that introduction of R_4 = phenyl, particularly in the type I structures, would afford substitution on the key carbon to effect steric inhibition of hydrolysis of the anesthetic esters under conditions of Newman's "Rule of Six."¹⁹

(18) For outstanding effects with pyrrolidino substituents in another series, see P. P. Koelzer and K. H. Wehr, *Arzueimittel-Forsch.*, **8**, 270 (1958).

(19) (a) M. S. Newman, "Steric Effects in Organic Chemistry,"
John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1956, p. 204 et seq.:
(b) L. Tsai, T. Miwa and M. S. Newman, THIS JOURNAL, 79, 2530
(1957); (c) S. Sarel, I. Tsai and M. S. Newman, ibid., 78, 5420 (1956);
(d) C. T. Chmiel and F. A. Long, ibid., 78, 3326 (1956); (e) G. L.

The importance of the retention of the ester linkage to avoid inactivation through hydrolysis by plasma esterases is well recognized.²⁰ Methyl groups introduced to yield steric factors on the phenyl ring¹⁰ or in the linking element^{4,21} have yielded compounds with high anesthetic potency. The long series of compounds of type I showing very high anesthetic potency clearly confirms this approach to active anesthetic structures.

Further evidence is obtained on comparison of the compounds of type I which show "Rule of Six" structural inhibition, and the isomeric structures of type II which do not. While all the structures compared exceed procaine activity, with the sole exception of the paired isomers (compounds 81, 196) both of which are extremely active, the type I structure is by far the more active (compound 11 vs. 192; 57 vs. 194; 143 vs. 197; 157 vs. 198; 171 vs. 199) of the two isomers.

The rationalization of the basis for enhanced activity as advanced above suffers somewhat upon consideration of the anesthetic response when R_4 in the type I structures is substituted as other than phenyl. Thus, when $R_4 = p$ -tolyl, in one instance, compound 84 vs. 81, an improved effect is noted; however, see compound 25 vs. 11, and 59 vs. 57. This pattern of superiority of phenyl over the other R_4 substitutents is noted when $R_4 = p$ -chlorophenyl (compound 22 vs. 11; 141 vs. 140; 145 vs. 143; 155 vs. 154; 170 vs. 169), and α -naphthyl (compound 113 vs. 110; 142 vs. 140).

It is not likely that such substituents would materially differ in their hydrolysis rates from those of congeners bearing a phenyl group and, undoubtedly, many other factors including solubility, enter into the fully defined spectrum of effects associated with maximum anesthetic potency.

While the structures of the types I and II are in every instance a racemic mixture, we have not at this point attempted the resolution to establish whether a difference in activity of the optical isomers exists.²²

More detailed description of the time of onset and duration of anesthetic activity, cutaneous absorption and lack of irritancy of selected anesthetics in this work will be given at a later date.

With the availability of the free bases of these anesthetic esters of the types I and II it was of interest as well to prepare the quaternary ammonium derivatives.²³ These might provide compounds of interesting potential divorced from the anesthetic response and might have anesthetic effect²⁴ in spite of the requisites of current concepts Goerner, Abstracts of Papers, 130th American Chemical Society Meet-

ing. Atlantic City. N. J., September, 1956, p. 14-O.
 (20) K. H. Beyer and A. R. Latven, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therap.,
 106, 37 (1952).

(21) I. N. Nazarov and R. I. Kruglikova, Zhur. Obshchei Khim., 27, 346 (1957) [C. A., 51, 15521h (1957)].

 $(22)\,$ Reference 4, p. 102, states that the optically active forms of ester type local anesthetics whose amino alcohol portion contains asymmetric carbon atoms rarely differ in their activity.

(23) (a) R. O. Clinton, S. C. Laskowski, U. J. Salvador and P. M. Carroll, THIS JOURNAL, **79**, 2290 (1057); (b) A. L. Mindzhoyan, V. G. Afrikyan and A. N. Oganesyan, *Doklady Akad. Nauk Armyan. S. S. R.*, **24**, 105 (1957) [*C. A.*, **52**, 9021d (1958)]; (c) R. Hazard, M. Beauvallet, R. Giudicelli, P. Chabrier and G. Thullier, *Compt. rend.*, **147**, 1744 (1953); (d) **147**, 1927 (1953).

(24) (a) K. Nador, F. Herr, G. Pataky and J. Borsy, Nature, 171, 788 (1953), (b) K. Nador, F. Herr and B. Losonczy, Acta Chim. Acad. of the action of anesthetic $agents^{25}$ which require that the free base and not a quaternary nitrogen be available.

In this study no definite correlations were noted in the anesthetic response with the quaternaries. In one instance (compound 181 vs. 179) the quaternary with ethyl bromoacetate was superior in anesthetic effect to the free base.

A particularly interesting property of some of the quaternary structures was the reversal of the neurotoxicity of tremorine. This effect has been implied as affording a possible screening procedure for anti-Parkinson drugs.²⁶ The required tremorine was prepared as tremorine ditartrate and a convenient synthesis is indicated in the Experimental section. Although anti-tremorine activity was shown in a variety of structures, peak activity was confined exclusively to compound 14 (III).



If the grouping was varied so that the nitrogen bore three methyl groups, two methyl and one ethyl group, or three ethyl groups (compounds 3, 4, 16) activity decreased. If the phenyl group in the linking element was withdrawn (compounds 23, 24) or the phenyl placed on the carbon alpha to the amino structure (compound 193), no activity was noted. Substituents introduced into the phenyl ring of the benzoyl group (compounds 74, 77, 111), or methiodides of variants of the amino component R_1R_2N- other than diethylamino (compound 30), yielded markedly reduced effects.

The structures other than III which showed reasonably potent effect (compounds 16, 18, 20) were also somewhat more toxic than III. It is of interest that III retained a fair amount of the anesthetic effect noted with the free base. Although a number of free bases were evaluated for antitremorine activity, none showed any response of interest.

Upon examination for their effect on blood pressure most of the compounds showed a normotensive pattern or at most, transient hypotension. Sustained effects were obtained with some of the quaternaries (compounds 105, 183, 14, 16, 77, 83, 88, 97, 101, 111, 123, 180 and 181). More interesting, was the noted hypotensive effect with some of the free bases,²⁷ with the $R_1R_2N- = N$ -methylpiperazyl structures (compounds 35, 66, 91) showing the only correlative feature. Others of the tertiary amino bases which showed sustained hypotension were compounds 7, 35, 44, 59, 72, 94, 158. A few of the compounds showed a hypertensive response (compounds 56, 79, 171, 198).

Sci. Hung., 3, 497 (1953) [C. A., 49, 2363d (1955)] have observed anesthetic effects upon quaternization of active anesthetics, although activity never reached the levels of the unquaternized anesthetic agents.

(25) R. B. Batlow, "Chemical Pharmacology," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1955, p. 99.

(26) (a) G. M. Everett, Nature, 177, 1238 (1956); (b) G. M. Everett,
 L. E. Blockus and I. M. Shepperd, Science, 124, 79 (1956).

(27) S. L. Shapiro, H. Soloway and L. Freedman, THIS JOURNAL, 80, 2743 (1958).

A complete ganglionic block was restricted to the quaternaries and was noted with compounds 74, 83. 101, 105, 180. Less complete blockage was obtained with compounds 77, 109 and 137. Partial ganglionic block was obtained with the following amines: compounds 7, 18, 114, 72 and 76. In this pharmacological category as well, no clear-cut structure vs. activity effects were evident.

It was of interest to correlate the anesthetic response with the noted cardiovascular effect of the various basic compounds on the response to adrenalin as established in the anesthetized dog. Where available, the data so obtained have been gathered, and the effect on adrenalin which varied as potentiation, no effect and inhibition, has been collated with the anesthetic $ANED_{50}$ as shown in Table IV.

It will be seen that the distribution of the adrenalin response shows a paralleling effect whether involved with the more active anesthetic drugs or not. However, since in clinical application, local anesthetics are often co-administered with adrenalin it will be of interest, and we plan to assess, the pattern of activity of selected highly active compounds within each of the adrenalin response categories.

Experimental

Material.—The amino alcohols have been previously described.² The acid chlorides which were not commercially available were prepared by published procedures. The o-, m- and p-toluyl chlorides,²⁸ 3,5-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride,³⁰ 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl chloride,³⁰ o-, and p-n-but oxybenzoyl chloride³¹ and β -piperonylacryloyl chloride³² were prepared from the carboxylic acids.

The acid chlorides were prepared following the method described below for 4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetyl chloride.

4-Chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetyl Chloride.—To a stirred suspension of 140 g. (0.7 mole) of 4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid in 100 ml. of benzene there was added 107 g. (0.91 mole) of thionyl chloride during a period of 45 minutes. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 3.5 hours. The benzene and excess thionyl chloride were removed under diminished pressure and the residue was distilled to give 116 g. (76%) of product, b.p. 118-130° (5-7 mm.).

(28) J. F. Norris and H. H. Young, Jr., THIS JOURNAL, 57, 1420 (1935).

- (29) F. Mauthner, J. praki. Chem., [2] 87, 404 (1913).
- (30) J. Koo, This Journal, 75, 720 (1953).

(31) J. S. Pierce, J. M. Salsbury and J. M. Fredericksen, *ibid.*, **64**, 1691 (1942).

(32) H. Thoms and F. Thumen, Ber., 44, 3726 (1911).

Anal. Caled. for C₉H₈Cl₂O₂: C, 49.4; H, 3.7. Found: C, 49.2; H, 4.0.

Esters Reported in Tables I and II. General Procedure. —To a solution of 0.07 mole of acid chloride in 150 ml. of refluxing beuzene (or acetonitrile) there was added, dropwise, during 0.5 hour, 0.07 mole of the amino alcohol.² Reflux and stirring were continued for 2 hours. In many instances adequate yields of the formed hydrochloride of the product could be separated readily by filtration. If the hydrochloride did not precipitate, the solvent was removed under diminished pressure and the residue was purified by recrystallization. In those cases where the physical state of the residue rendered crystallization difficult, the hydrochloride was dissolved in water, the solution was made alkaline, the free base extracted with ether, and after drying (magnesium sulfate) and removal of the ether, the product was distilled.

p-Aminobenzoate Esters.—The following procedure was typical: A solution of 0.05 mole of the corresponding nitrobenzoate ester hydrochloride in 230 ml. of ethanol containing 0.01 g. of platinum dioxide was hydrogenated in a Parr hydrogenator. When hydrogenation was completed, the catalyst was separated, the solvent removed and the residue recrystallized.

1,4-Dipyrolidino-2-butyne (Tremorine).—A solution of 34 g. (0.48 mole) of pyrrolidine and 14.8 g. (0.12 mole) of 1,4-dichloro-2-butyne in 180 ml. of toluene was heated under reflux for 1 hour. After cooling, the solution was decanted from the tarry precipitate and upon removal of the toluene, 10.8 g. (47%) of product was obtained, b.p. 92–99° (1 mm.).

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₂₀N₂: N, 14.6. Found: N, 15.0.

Tremorine Ditartrate.—To a solution of 5.76 g. (0.03 mole) of 1,4-dipyrrolidino-2-butyne in 500 ml. of ethanol, there was added a hot solution of 9 g. (0.06 mole) of tartaric acid in 100 ml. of ethanol. After cooling, 12 g. of the pure salt separated, m.p. 126–127°.

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{20}H_{32}N_2O_2$: C, 48.8; H, 6.6; N, 5.7. Found: C, 48.7; H, 7.1; N, 5.6.

In previous work² it had been shown that acetylation of 2pyrrolidino-2-phenylethanol afforded a mixture of acetates with 58% of the expected product and 15% of the rearranged product, 2-pyrrolidino-1-phenylethyl acetate. To ensure that the product isolated in the benzoylations of the R₁R₂-NCH (C₆H₅)CH₂OH alcohols was not a rearranged product, several mixed melting points were run, mixed m.p. (compounds 192 and 11), 139–149°; (compounds 197 and 143), 190–193°.

Acknowledgment.—The authors are indebted to Dr. G. Ungar and his staff for the pharmacological data herein presented. They wish to express their appreciation to K. Weinberg for the tremorine preparation, and to the following of their coworkers who assisted in various phases of the synthetic work, V. Parrino, S. Herbstman and H. Shapiro.

YONKERS 1, N. Y.